

DIAGNOSING INSTABILITY, BUILDING RESILIENCE

Creative's Governance Fragility Resilience Assessment Method

Creative Associates International | 2017

Creative's Governance Fragility Resilience Assessment Method (FRAMe)

a mapping tool designed to support community leaders and development practitioners to identify specific weaknesses or vulnerabilities within governance systems and then develop tailored and targeted approaches to enhance community resilience.



Through a deeper and more nuanced understanding of a community's unique governance system, leaders and citizens can work together to allocate resources, develop programmatic solutions, and organize constituencies that mitigate the potential for conflict and build networks of community resilience. Reducing fragility and building resilience is fundamental to communities' ability to become more just, prosperous and peaceful.

Creative's Governance Fragility Resilience Assessment Method (FRAMe) is a mapping tool that assesses the sources and dynamics of community fragility as well as the efficacy of governing institutions. Across a series of single identity focus groups, Creative's Governance FRAMe employs a Likert scale that provides a standardized lens through which to analyze eight functional dimensions and seven stability factors (right) of governance. These functional dimensions are based upon national assessment frameworks, such as that used by the New Deal for Fragile States, and were validated through extensive research conducted by Creative Associates International and the International Peace and Security Institute, a division of Creative Learning. The factors within each dimension provide critical insight into a governance system's particular fragilities and resiliencies.

Creative's Governance FRAMe data is presented in a way that produces an individualized profile of each Governance System, visually noting key fragilities and potential points of resilience that can be built upon (see below). Data is then graphed in spider charts that visually depict a system's resiliencies and fragilities as well as areas of overlap and discrepancy among the percep-

Creative's Governance FRAMe uses a qualitative assessment questionnaire to analyze a community governance system on eight key functional dimensions—from service delivery to civic participation and more.

tions of single identity groups – such as men and women, government and civil society, or different ethnic groups – as well as the community's local government (next page).

The completion of this guided, qualitative assessment questionnaire, which is localized to each community, offers development practitioners and government leaders, as well as civil society, immediate insight into a community's strengths and weaknesses; resiliencies and risks; and in-depth perspectives on individual groups and constituencies. As a next step, community leaders and development implementers have a starting point for risk factors they need to prioritize, how to allocate or apply limited resources, and where to focus planning and activities.

At the same time, the process of collecting Creative's Governance FRAMe data through these single identity focus groups is an initial step towards building civic engagement and inclusion. By participating in a process that solicits citizen input, citizens become empowered and take steps on a pathway to greater dignity as their voices are heard by leadership whom, in many cases, they perceive to be distant or disinterested. That empowerment continues as Creative's Governance FRAMe data is shared publicly and used as a jumping off point to host community dialogues about perceived difference and group cleavages, discuss issues of mutual concern, undertake informed planning and work with different stakeholders to map joint pathways forward.

Dimensions of Local Governance

- 1. Leadership
- 2. Administrative Management
- 3. Fiscal Management
- 4. Service Delivery
- 5. Civic Participation
- **6. Security Environment**
- 7. Justice & Rule of Law
- 8. Economic Foundations

Stability Factors

- 1. Inclusion
- 2. Decentralization & Autonomy
- 3. Social Cohesion
- 4. Performance
- 5. Civic Infrastructure
- 6. Confidence & Trust
- 7. System Legitimacy

	leaders independ	Creative A	Creative Associates International Government System Stability Associates (SSSA) Vesicle 12: September 2015 Vesicle 13: September 2015								(
	Are political and institut			-	ion I. Landonkij Valior	Enduster	Key Quantitina	Distribute	Somewhat Undahla	Innestal Salis	Baldard	
Common recognition of leadership	Are there contesting	ative Associa			milwise	Representative incitations	Are stended and/or appointed officials in key institutions and decision- mating locities representative of the population? In their compositional materia bindeprisons, or does bindular a large of viewpoints, stated-coller groups, and ethnic-folial afficiation?	Way Bad - Leadership manapolized is benefit broned groups	Pear - Bentys monopolizatio of basinship by majority prosp(s)	office - Submission Impresentation in Imprimiting for misselfy group(x)	Good - Leadenship somposition remark sommanity	
	be peacefully and los			u į	bearing trainer	Localization of policymaking	Are load and regional governance institutions internally and vertically stated? On load leaders later advantage of apportunities to advance or advanced in load internals in certain of an advantage of apportunities. As a beaders independent, or clients of lighter order (e.g. rational) leaders?	Very Bad - Higher order Insides, and agendan duminate book policymating	Pear - Higher order leaders, consider local priorities, but aren't bound to folios them	Fair - Local leaders have sorted over policymating in some areas	Seed - Pulsymating is locally drove and locally led	
Shared Authority	Are decision-making proc	C Treatment	F	13	locial Cohesion	Common recognition of inadentitip	Are pullical and institutional headers broadly recognized and accepted for five forer contenting states of authority (e.g. from social or pullical basises, to allow a building a state of the property is or in an authority to present any and property and legally bandered between groups?	Very East Martist contentation for authority	Pear - Authority non-visited controlled between groups	Pair - Authority treasily encognised, with paper deplications before publical leaders and others	Good - Universal recognition of authority, effective coordination between pullitual leaders and others	
	individual or entry		F	1.4	locial Cohesion	David Authority	Are decision making processes dominated or monopolized by one individual or mility? Or are they shared among (for example), political readers (e.g. councils) administrative leaders (e.g. mayors), furnaciosis, and traditional leaders?	Very Ead - One industrial mility controls decision making absolutely	Peer - Adominant authority allows other insides: a nominal rule in decision making	Fair - Power includence personner multiple teachers participating in decision making	Good : Multiple leaders coordinates coperate in decision making	
	leaders (e.g. courts) and traditional leaders?	H	-		locial Cohesion	Minimal and I	Sees the leader(c) promide a collective strettly in the community? Sees the leader(c) advance parcollet agencies that fever or are perceived to focus one group over another?	Way Bad - Leader(s) fument other group contlict	Pear - One group profesiges alithe expense of others	Pate - Leader(s) neither probeings one group, nor build inter-group bands	Good - Leader(s) advance the community's sollective good	
vational hip	Does the leader(s) P	13 madesta	-		Performance	Participationy leadership	Now do pullitud and institutional leaders interact with utilizers and stid society? Are they prosable in communicating edit the public or resolve? Do they print visite perhapsion or effort and resolut? Does the leader makitize and olivaned cutterities action?	Very East Houle engagement with public	Peer : Knaden delmake mgagenerit villi public	Pair - Irregular, ineffectual engagement with public	Good - Prioritire, conductive engagement with public	
		he house	_	1.7	Date Infrastructur	Representative Processes	Do pullical processes in this community fielder includive and representative governance (e.g. includios in decision moting, altinuition of resources, etc.) There intendity valuedation, or traditionally marginalized populations containedly existed from these processes?	Very Bad - Laws and social names for certain groups from all publical processes.	Pear - Opportunities to engage in pullius are limited for marginalized groups	Pair - Mod groups participate, but some key colors are recluded	Seed - All groups have equal apportunities and rights to participate	
	perceived to f				Confidence & True	Constituent Perception	Are pullful institutions and processes persected by constituents to be representative, inclusive, and effective? Are they pulged to have untitlets in the eyes cittle general To say the particularity consistentiate ground her particularly resoluted, marginatized, or malignes? Are mades; consolide and widelin to their constituents? Are habites personned as emperioring total orders of conduct and ordinances?	Very Bad - Consistents test fundamed by authorities	Place - Considerate are instructed of authorities	Fair - Conditions double effectiveness of authorities	Seed - Consiliumits judge authorities to be credible and effective	
		1 1	,,,,,,,,,		lytion Legitimas	Popular Mandale la Garann	Now are traders, submitted or appointed? Are they selected appointed to a similarities princess that is native and assigned by all groups? Do people care the solicienes of braders' decisions?	Very Ead - Consistents led leaders are externally imposed	Pear - Certain groups hed excluded from selection or accountability of leaders.	Fair - Conditions only accept leaders Filtery agree with them	Seed - Consiliumits sine leaders as embedded in and associable to the community	
		· 1 H	-	L	agend.	the Contract of the Contract	Notice Conditional Print Condi	plate - Carllan Graphs plate - Carllan Graphs periodic Transcript property of plate	of the last training to the last training to the last training training to the last training	-	_	

How is Data Analyzed? Potential Vulnerability Perception Gap Potential Resiliency Leadership Leadership Leadership Administrativ Economic Administrative Economic Administrative Economic Foundations e Mgmt. Foundation Mgmt. Foundations Mgmt. Justice & Fiscal Momt. Justice & Rule Justice & Rule Rule of Law Fiscal Mamt. Fiscal Mamt. Security Service Security Service Environment Delivery Environment Delivery Environment Delivery Civic Participation Participation Participation

Each community is scored within the 8 dimensions of local governance, based on indicators linked to the 7 stability factors. A score of 1 in any dimension indicates low stability, with a score of 4 indicating high stability.

Why Focus on Local Governance?

A reciprocal, mutually-dependent relationship among actors that is based on trust is essential to long-term peace, prosperity, and justice.

Shared Responsibility, Shared Success

The conditions for enhanced resilience are created when a virtuous cycle occurs between local government and society.

Social Capital

This is the fuel for resilience, defined as the ability of a community to absorb shocks, adapt to short- and long-term stresses, and transform itself to better-manage shocks and stresses into the future while maintaining coherence.

Stability

Where the governance system is resilient, communities are better equipped to manage conflicts and grievances non-violently, and take collective action based on mutual interest when faced with resource scarcities or other shocks.



-Security Actors

Programming Cycle





Governance systems are strengthened not simply by building the capacity of individual system actors, but by simultaneously enhancing the quality and utility of interactions between them.

Why Creative's Governance FRAMe?

Understanding a community or society's governance system's unique vulnerabilities and resiliencies is essential to predicting and preventing destabilizing events. The rules and traditions by which a community governs itself have a direct impact on a community's vulnerability to violent conflict and its resilience in the face of social, economic, and environmental shocks and stressors. Questions of who controls assets and local levers of power, and to what end; how resources are allocated between and within groups; and how grievances are expressed and litigated are just some of

the determining factors for how a governance system is perceived by its citizens.

However, community leaders, within government as well as civil society, lack the distinct information necessary to accurately diagnose the risks a community faces and the opportunities within their own midst. Similarly, development practitioners lack nuanced information about the communities they seek to support and often apply broad-stroke methods and approaches to community stabilization and resilience-building.

In light of this gap, Creative and the International Peace and Security Institute (IPSI) have developed the Fragility Resilience Assessment Method (FRAMe): a mapping tool designed to support community leaders

identify specific weaknesses or vulnerabilities within their governance systems and then develop tailored and targeted approaches to enhance community resilience and build civic participation and dignity.

What's Next?

We seek innovative partners in governments, multilateral organizations, and communities across a wide array of geographic areas to work with us in developing much needed nuanced understandings of communities' and states' pathways to resilience that withstand destabilizing influences such as extremism, social unrest, demographic pressures, and economic inequality.





Creative's Governance FRAMe was developed and produced by Creative Associates International, with the valued input of the International Peace and Security Institute (IPSI). Creative provides outstanding, on the-ground development services and forges partnerships to deliver sustainable solutions to local challenges. IPSI equips global leaders through experiential training and applied research to pragmatically prevent, transform, and resolve violent conflict.

Creative Associates International® is a registered trademark. All content in this publication is copyright© and cannot be reproduced without prior written permission.

For more information contact: Deborah Kimble, Director of Governance & Community Resilience DeborahK@CreativeDC.com | 202-966-9502